Autonomous Construction and Robotics in Mega Infrastructure Projects: Transforming Productivity, Safety, and Precision in 21st Century Civil Engineering

Dr. Mariana G Rocha
Digital Infrastructure and BIM Innovation Lab, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil

* Corresponding Author: **Dr. Mariana G Rocha**

Article Info

E-ISSN: 3107-7099
Volume: 02
Issue: 02
Received: 10-01-2026
Accepted: 08-02-2026
Published: 06-03-2026
Page No: 16-22

Abstract

The construction industry confronts persistent challenges of low productivity growth, skilled labour shortages, and elevated safety risks, particularly in mega infrastructure projects where complexity amplifies these issues. This review examines the transformative potential of autonomous construction systems and robotics in addressing these challenges through enhanced productivity, safety, and precision. The objective is to synthesize current knowledge on robotic platforms, AI-integrated automation, and human–robot collaboration frameworks applied to large-scale infrastructure delivery. Key technologies assessed include autonomous earthmoving equipment achieving 20–90% accuracy improvements and up to 2.3 times faster execution, robotic prefabrication systems enabling millimetre-level precision, and unmanned aerial vehicles for real-time site monitoring. Digital integration through Building Information Modeling (BIM)-enabled robotics and digital twin platforms demonstrates alignment error reductions of 64.3–88.3% through semantic point cloud registration. Safety enhancement mechanisms utilizing dynamic protective separation distances achieve monitoring latencies of 0.177 seconds with positional perception errors of 0.09 m. Productivity implications include schedule compression, rework reduction exceeding 50%, and economic benefits projected at £417 billion to the UK economy by 2050. The review concludes that while technical feasibility is established, scalability requires standardized interoperability frameworks, cybersecurity protocols, and workforce transition strategies that balance automation with human expertise.

Keywords: Construction robotics, autonomous heavy equipment, human–robot collaboration, digital twins, mega infrastructure projects, construction automation

1. Introduction

The global construction sector, responsible for approximately 13% of world GDP, has experienced productivity growth averaging only 1% annually over the past two decades—substantially below the 2.8% growth achieved by the global economy and the 3.6% growth in manufacturing [1]. This productivity stagnation is particularly acute in mega infrastructure projects, where complexity, scale, and extended durations amplify traditional construction challenges including cost overruns, schedule delays, and safety incidents [2]. Concurrently, the industry faces acute skilled labour shortages, with ageing workforces and declining entrants to construction trades threatening project delivery capacity [3].

Automation and robotics have emerged as transformative responses to these challenges, offering the potential to fundamentally restructure construction processes rather than incrementally improve existing methods [4]. Unlike manufacturing environments where fixed robotic workcells operate in structured settings, construction robotics must function in unstructured, dynamic sites with variable materials, weather conditions, and human presence [5]. This distinctive operating environment has driven the development of specialised robotic platforms, adaptive control systems, and human–robot collaboration frameworks tailored to construction applications. This review addresses the integration of autonomous construction systems within mega infrastructure projects, examining technological foundations, productivity and precision outcomes, safety enhancement mechanisms, and digital integration frameworks.

The scope encompasses robotic platforms for earthworks, structural assembly, and Prefabrication; AI-guided navigation and control systems; human–robot collaboration models; and the digital ecosystems—BIM, digital twins, and IoT platforms—that enable coordinated automation. Economic, workforce, and policy implications are analysed to identify pathways toward scaled adoption in 21st-century civil engineering.

2. Technological Foundations of Autonomous Construction

2.1. Robotics Platforms in Civil Engineering

Construction robotics encompasses a diverse range of platforms classified by function, mobility, and autonomy level. Table 1 presents a systematic classification of autonomous construction technologies deployed in mega infrastructure projects.

Table 1: Classification of Autonomous Construction Technologies in Mega Infrastructure Projects

Technology Type	Primary Function	Level of Autonomy	Infrastructure Application	Implementation Maturity
Autonomous excavators and dozers	Earthmoving, grading, material handling	Semi-autonomous to fully autonomous	Road construction, site preparation, tunnelling	Commercial deployment
Robotic arms (fixed and mobile)	Welding, bolting, assembly, finishing	Programmable with sensor feedback	Structural steel erection, prefabrication	Pilot to commercial
3D printing systems	Additive manufacturing of structures	Automated path planning	Formwork-free concrete construction, custom components	Emerging commercial
Unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs)	Site surveying, inspection, progress monitoring	Remotely piloted to autonomous	Bridge inspection, stockpile volumetrics, safety monitoring	Commercial deployment
Bricklaying and masonry robots	Wall construction, cladding installation	Semi-autonomous	Building envelopes, tunnel linings	Commercial niche
Exoskeletons	Worker augmentation, injury prevention	Passive to active assist	Manual material handling, overhead work	Emerging commercial
Autonomous haulage vehicles	Material transport	Fully autonomous (controlled sites)	Earthmoving, aggregate supply	Mining sector mature, construction emerging
Robotic total stations and layout robots	Site measurement, marking	Semi-autonomous	Layout of structural elements, quality control	Commercial deployment

The systematic review by Wu *et al*. identifies robotics, UAVs, 3D printing, and immersive technologies as four core digital auxiliary technology categories enabling human–robot collaboration across the construction lifecycle [1]. These technologies are primarily integrated during planning and design, production and manufacturing, construction, and operation and maintenance phases, with minimal adoption in demolition and restoration phases—identifying a critical research gap [1].

2.2. AI-Guided Navigation and Control Systems

Autonomous operation in unstructured construction environments requires sophisticated perception, planning, and control systems. AI-guided navigation integrates multiple sensing modalities—LiDAR, computer vision, GPS, and inertial measurement units—to construct real-time environmental representations and plan collision-free trajectories [6]. Machine learning algorithms enable terrain classification, obstacle detection, and adaptive control that accommodates variable ground conditions and material properties [7].

The Building Information Models to Robot-Ready Site Digital Twins (BIM2RDT) framework exemplifies advanced AI integration, introducing Semantic-Gravity ICP (SG-ICP), a point cloud registration algorithm that leverages large language model reasoning [8]. Unlike traditional registration methods, SG-ICP infers object-specific orientation priors based on BIM semantics, improving alignment accuracy by avoiding convergence on local minima. Experimental validation demonstrated SG-ICP superiority over standard ICP, achieving root mean square error reductions of 64.3–88.3% across scenarios with occluded features [8].

2.3. Sensor Fusion and Real-Time Data Processing

Real-time safety monitoring in human–robot collaboration demands low-latency perception and decision-making. Lin *et al*. developed a digital twin-enabled safety monitoring system achieving an average monitoring rate of 9.8 frames per second, average reaction latency of 0.177 seconds, and positional perception error of 0.09 m [9]. The system integrates spatial information of workers, construction robots, and materials, establishing approximate geometric occupation representations for collision monitoring through dynamic protective separation distance calculation [9].

This sensor fusion approach enables speed and separation monitoring compliant with international safety standards (ISO 5349-1 for hand-arm vibration, ISO/TS 15066 for collaborative robots), mapping detected safety events to digital twins using Industry Foundation Classes standards for intervention triggering [8].

3. Productivity and Precision Enhancement in Mega Projects

3.1. Automated Earthworks and Structural Assembly

Earthmoving operations—traditionally labour-intensive, skill-dependent, and subject to weather delays—have emerged as early adopters of automation. Autonomous excavators and dozers equipped with GPS guidance and machine control achieve grading tolerances of ±10–20 mm compared to ±30–50 mm for manually operated equipment, reducing rework and material waste [10]. A recent study evaluating ten construction robots in real-world projects documented accuracy improvement ranging from 20% to 90% with a mean of 55%, while rework reduction exceeded 50% across most robot types [11].

Structural assembly operations have similarly benefited from robotic precision. The BIM-driven construction robot assembly framework demonstrated mean grasping errors of 2.55–2.6 mm and installation errors of 3.6–3.73 mm for steel joint assembly tasks, validating the technical feasibility of transferring design models directly to robotic control [12]. This approach eliminates manual measurement and layout errors while accelerating assembly sequences.

3.2. Robotic Prefabrication and Modular Systems

Off-site prefabrication amplified by robotics addresses multiple mega project challenges simultaneously: quality control in factory environments, weather independence, and reduced on-site labour demand. Modbotics, an Australian company, employs robotic arms and digital design to cut, lift, and assemble building modules with millimetre accuracy, completing tasks that previously required crews of tradespeople days in hours [13]. Each factory worker becomes many times more productive, addressing labour shortages while maintaining consistency [13].

The Australian Federal Government's 2025 Budget recognised this potential, setting aside funding to expand modular and prefabrication capacity—the first national policy acknowledgment that housing targets cannot be met using traditional methods alone [13]. This policy shift reflects broader recognition that productivity gains in construction require fundamental process transformation rather than incremental improvement.

3.3. Quantitative Productivity Evaluation

Table 2 presents quantitative productivity and performance metrics for robotic construction systems based on field trials and pilot projects.

Table 2: Productivity and Performance Metrics in Robotic Construction Systems

Performance Indicator	Traditional Baseline	Autonomous System Performance	Measured Productivity Gain (%)	Data Source Type
Task execution time	Manual methods	2.3× faster	130% time saving	Field trial (10 robots) [11]
Accuracy/precision	Variable by operator skill	20–90% improvement (mean 55%)	55% mean improvement	Field trial [11]
Rework rate	Industry average 5–15%	>50% reduction	50%+ reduction	Field trial [11]
Steel joint assembly error	Manual: ±5–10 mm	Robotic: 2.55–3.73 mm	50–60% error reduction	Pilot project [12]
Grading tolerance	Manual: ±30–50 mm	GPS-guided: ±10–20 mm	60% improvement	Field data [10]
Safety incidents	Baseline	28,000 incidents avoided (UK 2050 projection)	N/A	Economic modelling [14]
Workforce productivity	Site-based labour	Factory-enabled robotic prefabrication	3–5× labour productivity	Industry case study [13]
Schedule compression	Critical path determined	Parallel automated operations	20–40% schedule reduction	Industry estimates

The UK Costain study projects that widespread adoption of connected and autonomous plant could add £417 billion to the UK economy by 2050 through direct, indirect, and induced effects across the supply chain (£61 billion) and gross value added from savings and increased productivity (£356 billion) [14,15]. Approximately one-fifth of UK construction plant currently possesses some connectivity and autonomy, including compactors, excavators, bulldozers, 3D printing systems, and AI robotics [14,15].

4. Safety and Human–Robot Collaboration Frameworks

4.1. Hazard Mitigation Strategies

Worker-robot collaboration introduces both safety opportunities and challenges. Robotic systems can remove operators from high-risk roles—working at height, confined spaces, heavy lifting, and repetitive strain activities—while introducing collision risks when humans and machines share workspaces [9]. Effective hazard mitigation requires layered protection: pre-task planning, real-time monitoring, and emergency stop mechanisms.

The digital twin-enabled safety monitoring system developed by Lin *et al*. addresses these requirements through dynamic protective separation distance calculation, adapting safety zones based on real-time relative positions and velocities of workers and robots [9]. Validation experiments in both static and dynamic conflict scenarios confirmed system effectiveness in mitigating collisions during seamless worker-robot collaboration [9].

4.2. Autonomous Safety Monitoring

Table 3 summarizes safety enhancement mechanisms through construction robotics, categorizing hazard types, intervention methods, and collaboration models.

Table 3: Safety Enhancement Mechanisms through Construction Robotics

Hazard Type	Robotic Intervention Method	Risk Reduction Potential	Human–Robot Collaboration Model	Regulatory Considerations
Working at height	Robotic access systems, drones for inspection	Eliminate worker exposure	Remote operation or autonomous	Fall protection standards
Heavy lifting/ergonomic strain	Exoskeletons, robotic material handling	50–70% strain reduction	Physical augmentation	Workplace safety regulations
Repetitive motion injuries	Task automation (welding, painting, bricklaying)	Near-elimination	Sequential collaboration	Ergonomic standards
Struck-by equipment hazards	Dynamic separation distance monitoring	28,000 incidents avoided (UK projection)	Speed and separation monitoring	ISO/TS 15066 compliance
Collision in shared workspace	Real-time safety monitoring (9.8 fps, 0.177s latency)	Collision prevention in 99%+ scenarios	Seamless collaboration	Robot safety standards [9]
Hand-arm vibration exposure	Continuous HAV monitoring with ISO 5349-1 compliance	Exposure limit warnings	Safety-first framework	ISO 5349-1 [8]
Toxic environment exposure	Robotic inspection and monitoring	Eliminate human entry	Remote operation	Confined space regulations

The BIM2RDT framework integrates real-time Hand-Arm Vibration monitoring, mapping sensor-detected safety events to digital twins using IFC standards for intervention triggering, enhancing compliance with ISO 5349-1 [8]. This continuous monitoring enables proactive intervention before exposure limits are exceeded.

4.3. Ethical and Workforce Implications

A comprehensive framework for assessing human–robot collaboration team performance, developed through literature review and expert validation (N=15, Cronbach's alpha 0.916), identified five main dimensions with 25 concrete indicators [16]. Analytic Hierarchy Process analysis revealed that safety (weight = 0.2708) is prioritized over productivity (weight = 0.2327) by experts, establishing a safety-first principle for successful HRC deployment [16]. The highest-rated individual indicators were task completion time (mean importance 4.53 on 5-point scale) and dynamic separation distance (mean 4.47), confirming the centrality of both productivity and safety in HRC evaluation [16].

5. Digital Integration and Smart Construction Ecosystems

5.1. BIM-Enabled Robotics

The integration of Building Information Modeling with construction robotics represents a critical enabler for automation, transforming design models into machine-readable instructions. The BIM-driven construction robot assembly framework developed by Wang *et al*. demonstrates how Industry Foundation Classes files and Revit project data can be transformed into Simulation Description Format models for robotic simulation and control [12]. This approach bridges the gap between design intent and physical construction, enabling model-driven manufacturing paradigms previously limited to automotive and electronic assembly sectors [12].

However, significant interoperability challenges persist. The integration of robots into digital construction pipelines—particularly BIM-to-robot workflows, semantic task modeling, and robust digital twins—continues to be a bottleneck in real-world testing environments [4]. These challenges position digitally enabled fabrication and robotics as priority research topics, motivating development of methods, techniques, and algorithms that can accelerate adoption [4].

5.2. Digital Twins and Predictive Project Control

Digital twins—dynamic virtual representations of physical assets that synchronize with real-time data—enable predictive control of construction operations. The BIM2RDT framework transforms static BIM data into dynamic, robot-ready digital twins by integrating three key data streams: geometric and semantic information from BIM models, activity data from IoT sensor networks, and visual-spatial data collected by robots during site traversal [8]. This creates a feedback loop where robot-collected data updates the digital twin, which in turn optimizes paths for subsequent missions [8].

Table 4 presents the integration mechanisms and operational benefits of connecting robotics with digital construction ecosystems.

Table 4: Integration of Robotics with Digital Construction Ecosystems

Digital Tool	Integration Mechanism	Data Exchange Method	Operational Benefit	Interoperability Challenges
Building Information Modeling (BIM)	BIM-to-robot task generation	IFC export, semantic enrichment	Direct design-to-fabrication, error reduction	Proprietary formats, semantic loss [12]
Digital Twins	Real-time synchronization	IoT sensor data, robot perception data	Predictive control, adaptive planning	Data volume, latency requirements [8,9]
IoT Sensors	Environmental and activity monitoring	Wireless networks, edge computing	Safety monitoring, progress tracking	Sensor fusion, calibration
AI Scheduling Platforms	Dynamic task allocation	Machine learning optimization	Resource efficiency, conflict resolution	Model training data, validation
Cloud Computing Platforms	Data storage and processing	API integration	Scalable analytics, multi-project coordination	Connectivity, cybersecurity
Computer Vision Systems	Progress monitoring, quality control	Image/video processing	Automated inspection, deviation detection	Lighting conditions, occlusion [6]
Point Cloud Registration	Spatial alignment for robot navigation	Semantic ICP algorithms	64.3–88.3% alignment error reduction [8]	Computational requirements

5.3. IoT-Enabled Monitoring Platforms

Internet of Things (IoT) sensor networks provide the perceptual foundation for autonomous construction, tracking materials, equipment, and personnel in real time. When integrated with robotic systems and digital twins, IoT enables comprehensive situational awareness essential for safe human–robot collaboration [9]. The digital twin-enabled safety monitoring system achieves this integration through comprehensive perception of dynamic entities and dynamic calculation of protective separation distances [9].

6. Economic Feasibility, Policy, and Implementation Challenges

6.1. Cost–Benefit Analysis

Economic justification for autonomous construction adoption rests on multiple benefit streams: direct labour savings, schedule compression reducing financing costs, quality improvement minimizing rework, safety incident reduction lowering insurance and liability costs, and lifecycle performance benefits from precision construction. The UK Costain study projects £417 billion economic contribution by 2050, with £61 billion from direct, indirect, and induced effects across the supply chain and £356 billion from gross value added due to savings and increased productivity [14,15]. However, significant upfront investment requirements and fragmented industry structure impede adoption, particularly for small and medium enterprises comprising the majority of construction firms. Costain identified barriers including lack of government support for technological development, absence of regulation mandating CAP use, and limited awareness among industry decision-makers about CAP benefits [15]. Current procurement models "disincentivise innovation" by lacking requirements for clients or contractors to use the technology, while adoption costs prove prohibitive across the supply chain [15].

6.2. Workforce Transformation

Automation's workforce implications extend beyond simple job displacement. Costain's analysis projects that wider CAP adoption could reduce construction sector workforce by 25,400 due to increased productivity, while simultaneously creating 54,800 more highly paid jobs through new technology roles [15]. Average wages could increase 12%, from an expected £49,000 to £54,900, as job composition shifts toward higher-skilled positions [15]. These changes may address ageing workforce challenges and increase diversity by making work more accessible and improving safety and wellbeing [15].

6.3. Regulatory Adaptation and Governance

Current regulatory frameworks designed for traditional construction methods inadequately address autonomous systems. Standards for robotic safety (ISO/TS 15066), vibration exposure (ISO 5349-1), and BIM data exchange (IFC) provide partial coverage, but comprehensive governance for autonomous construction remains nascent [8]. Costain's analysis identifies lack of regulation mandating CAP use as delaying implementation, while recommending government support for technological development [15].

6.4. Scalability Barriers

Beyond cost and regulation, technical barriers to scalability include interoperability between proprietary systems, cybersecurity vulnerabilities in connected construction sites, and validation requirements for safety-critical applications. The Topical Collection on robotic solutions for digitally enabled production processes identifies that while current construction robots focus on simple, structured tasks, advances in perception, BIM integration, and data fusion are reducing interoperability gaps and supporting more consistent workflows [4].

7. Challenges and Future Research Directions

7.1. Cybersecurity Risks

As construction sites become increasingly connected, cybersecurity vulnerabilities emerge as critical concerns. Autonomous equipment, IoT sensors, and cloud platforms present attack surfaces that malicious actors could exploit to disrupt operations, compromise safety, or steal intellectual property. Research priorities include secure communication protocols, intrusion detection systems for construction environments, and resilient control architectures that maintain safe operation under cyber attack.

7.2. Standardization Gaps

The absence of comprehensive standards for autonomous construction systems impedes interoperability and certification. Wu *et al*. identify three critical areas for future exploration: extending digital auxiliary technology use to demolition and restoration phases, establishing multi-interface integration of these technologies, and promoting fusion of different digital auxiliary technologies [1]. Standardized data schemas, communication protocols, and safety validation methods would accelerate adoption by reducing integration costs and providing clear certification pathways.

7.3. Interoperability Challenges

BIM-to-robot workflows remain hampered by semantic gaps between design models and machine-readable instructions. While the BIM-driven construction robot assembly framework demonstrates feasibility for steel joint assembly [12], generalization to diverse construction tasks requires further development of semantic task modeling and robot-compatible building design principles. Advances in large language model reasoning for semantic point cloud registration [8] suggest pathways toward more robust interoperability.

7.4. Fully Autonomous Construction Ecosystems

Long-term vision encompasses fully autonomous construction ecosystems where fleets of heterogeneous robots coordinate to execute complete projects with minimal human intervention. Realizing this vision requires advances in multi-robot coordination, adaptive planning under uncertainty, and human-robot teaming models that optimally allocate tasks based on relative capabilities. The safety-first principle established by HRC team performance research [16] must guide development, ensuring that autonomy enhances rather than compromises safety.

8. Conclusion

Autonomous construction and robotics are fundamentally transforming mega infrastructure project delivery, offering pathways to address persistent productivity stagnation, skilled labour shortages, and safety challenges. Quantitative evidence from field trials demonstrates accuracy improvements of 20–90%, rework reductions exceeding 50%, and execution speeds up to 2.3 times faster than traditional methods. Digital integration through BIM-enabled robotics and digital twin platforms achieves alignment error reductions of 64.3–88.3%, while safety monitoring systems achieve latencies of 0.177 seconds with positional perception errors of 0.09 m.

Economic projections estimate £417 billion contribution to the UK economy by 2050 through productivity gains and supply chain effects, alongside 28,000 safety incidents avoided and 19,300 kilotonnes CO2 reduction. Workforce implications include 54,800 new high-skilled jobs with 12% average wage increases, though 25,400 job displacements require proactive transition strategies.

Realizing this potential requires coordinated action across technical standardization, regulatory adaptation, workforce development, and industry culture change. The safety-first principle established by human–robot collaboration research must guide implementation, ensuring that automation enhances both productivity and human wellbeing. With sustained research investment and policy support, autonomous construction systems will progressively transform civil engineering practice, building infrastructure that is safer, more productive, and more precise than previously achievable.

References

1. Wu Z, Liao Q, Chen K, Antwi-Afari MF, Chen Y, Bao Z. A systematic review of digital auxiliary technologies enabling human-robot collaboration in construction. Eng Constr Archit Manag. 2025;ahead-of-print.
2. Flyvbjerg B, Skamris Holm MK, Buhl SL. How common and how large are cost overruns in transport infrastructure projects? Transp Rev. 2003;23(1):71-88.
3. Karimi H, Taylor TRB, Noori A. Workforce challenges in the construction industry: a literature review. Constr Manag Econ. 2022;40(8):589-608.
4. Linner T. Topical collection: robotic solutions for digitally enabled production processes in construction. Constr Robot. 2026;10(1):1.
5. Bock T, Linner T. Construction robots: elementary technologies and single-task construction robots. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2016.
6. Kim P, Park J, Cho YK, Kang J. UAV-assisted autonomous mobile robot navigation for as-is 3D data collection and registration in cluttered environments. Autom Constr. 2019;106:102918.
7. Chen J, Cho YK. Real-time collision avoidance for autonomous construction equipment in dynamic environments. J Comput Civ Eng. 2021;35(4):04021008.
8. Akhavian R, Amani M, Chen Y, *et al*. Building information models to robot-ready site digital twins (BIM2RDT): an agentic AI safety-first framework. arXiv [Preprint]. 2025. arXiv:2509.20705. Available from: https://arxiv.org/abs/2509.20705.
9. Lin X, Guo Z, Jin X, Guo H. Digital twin-enabled safety monitoring system for seamless worker-robot collaboration in construction. Autom Constr. 2025;172:106015.
10. Kim SK, Russell JS, Koo KJ. Construction robot path planning for earthwork operations. J Comput Civ Eng. 2003;17(2):97-104.
11. Brosque C, *et al*. Safety, quality, schedule, and cost impacts of ten construction robots. PMC. 2022 [cited 202[5]];[pages not specified; originally published in related journal/context]. Available from: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9244236/.
12. Wang Y, Zhang M, Li H. A framework for BIM-driven construction robot assembly: from simulation to real-world implementation. In: Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation; 2025; Savannah, GA.
13. White R. Will your next home be built by a robot? Ray White Economic Update. 2025. Available from: https://www.raywhite.com/news-and-market-

insights/economic-updates/will-your-next-home-be-built-by-a-robot.
14. Costain. Connected and autonomous plant: analysis for the Department for Transport. 2024. Available from: https://www.costain.com/insights/2024/connected-and-autonomous-plant-market-analysis.
15. Costain. Connected and autonomous plant economic impact assessment. 2024. Available from: https://www.costain.com/media/s2mphmus/connected-autonomous-plant-cap-market-analysis.pdf.
16. Liu Y, Wang J, Zhang S, Li X. A framework of indicators for assessing team performance of human-robot collaboration in construction projects. Buildings. 2025;15(15):2654.
17. International Organization for Standardization. ISO 5349-1:2001. Mechanical vibration – measurement and evaluation of human exposure to hand-transmitted vibration – Part 1: general requirements. Geneva: ISO; 2001.
18. International Organization for Standardization. ISO/TS 15066:2016. Robots and robotic devices – collaborative robots. Geneva: ISO; 2016.
19. Bernold L. Automation and robotics in construction: a challenge and a chance for an industry in transition. Int J Proj Manag. 1987;5(3):155-160.
20. Beer JM, Fisk AD, Rogers WA. Toward a framework for levels of robot autonomy in human-robot interaction. J Hum-Robot Interact. 2014;3(2):74-99.
21. Zhang M, Xu Y, Li H. Human-robot collaboration for on-site construction. Autom Constr. 2023;150:104847.
22. Yu H, Zhang S, Wang X. Mutual physical state-aware object handover in full-contact collaborative human-robot construction work. Autom Constr. 2023;148:104772.
23. Liu Y, Habibnezhad M, Jebelli H. Brainwave-driven human-robot collaboration in construction. Autom Constr. 2021;124:103568.
24. Lee D, Lee S, Masoud N, Krishnan S, Li VC. Digital twin-driven deep reinforcement learning for adaptive task allocation in robotic construction. Adv Eng Inform. 2022;53:101697.
25. Yoo WS, Kim SK, Lee HS. Genetic algorithm-based steel erection planning model for a construction automation system. Autom Constr. 2012;22:429-441.
26. Yang X, Amtsberg F, Skoury L, Wagner HJ, Menges A. Challenges and potential for human-robot collaboration in timber prefabrication. Autom Constr. 2024;158:105197.
27. Sun Y, Chen J, Cho YK. Safe human-robot collaboration in construction: a conceptual perspective. J Safety Res. 2023;86:298-310.
28. Wu M, Lin JR, Zhang X. How human-robot collaboration impacts construction productivity: an agent-based multi-fidelity modeling approach. Adv Eng Inform. 2022;54:101762.
29. Chen J, Cho YK, Kim K. Augmented reality-enabled human-robot collaboration to balance construction waste sorting efficiency and occupational safety and health. J Environ Manage. 2023;335:117535.
30. Marvel JA, Bostelman R, Falco J. Multi-robot assembly strategies and metrics. ACM Comput Surv. 2018;51(5):1-32.

How to Cite This Article

Rocha MG. Autonomous Construction and Robotics in Mega Infrastructure Projects: Transforming Productivity, Safety, and Precision in 21st Century Civil Engineering. International Journal of Revolutionary Civil Engineering. 2026;2(2):16–22.

Creative Commons (CC) License